

# Representation Theory (Fall 2004)

## Lecture 8

Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas

September, 23, 2004

### Representations over $\mathbb{R}$ (cont.)

Let  $G = H_8/\{\pm 1\}$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and therefore its irreducible representations are one dimensional. Now all of the elements of  $G$  have order at most two. This means that the characters of  $G$  can only take the values  $\pm 1$ . By projecting  $H_8$  onto  $G$  we obtain four different representations of  $H_8$ . Since  $H_8$  has only five conjugacy classes, we can obtain the fifth character from the first four. Here is the character table for  $H_8$ :

$H_8$	1	-1	$\pm i$	$\pm j$	$\pm k$
	1	1	1	1	1
	1	1	1	-1	-1
	1	1	-1	1	-1
	1	1	-1	-1	1
$R$	2	-2	0	0	0

In the previous section we found a representation of  $H_8$  as a subgroup of  $SU(2)$ . We could find the 2-dimensional representation  $R$  directly from taken traces of the following matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 & \xrightarrow{R} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 -1 & \xrightarrow{R} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 i & \xrightarrow{R} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \\
 j & \xrightarrow{R} \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j \end{pmatrix} \\
 k & \xrightarrow{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$D_4$  also has a subgroup of order 2 such that  $D_4/\{1, \sigma\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $D_4$  and  $H_8$  have the same character table, even though they are not isomorphic.  $D_4$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as the symmetries of the square and therefore is a real representation. We have then shown that two groups can have

---

Notes by Miguel Rodriguez; edited by Adriana Salerno

the same character table, yet one of them may have real representations while the other does not. We would like to find a way of determining when a representation with real character is real.

Let  $G$  be some finite group with a representation  $V$ . If  $\chi_V(g) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $g \in G$  then  $\overline{\chi_V(g)} = \chi_V(g)$ . This means that  $\chi_{V^*}(g) = \chi_V(g)$ , which is true if, and only if,  $V$  is isomorphic to  $V^*$  as a representation of  $G$ . Let  $\phi$  be a  $G$ -linear isomorphism of  $V$  and  $V^*$ . We can construct a bilinear pairing  $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(u, v) \mapsto \phi(u)(v) = B(u, v)$ . This  $B$  is  $G$ -stable since  $B(gu, gv) = \phi(gu)(gv) = g\phi(u)(gv) = \phi(u)(g^{-1}gv) = \phi(u)(v) = B(u, v)$ .  $B$  is also non-degenerate.

Now suppose  $V$  is irreducible and suppose  $\psi : V \rightarrow V^*$  is some  $G$ -linear isomorphism. If  $\phi$  is as in the previous paragraph, then  $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi : V \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism and, by Schur's Lemma, a scalar. Then, if  $V$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  with real character  $\chi_V$ ,  $V$  has a unique bilinear, non-degenerate and  $G$ -stable pairing, up to scalars.

Suppose  $V$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$ . Then  $V$  has a  $G$ -stable Hermitian pairing,  $H$ , which is positive definite.

**Exercise.** Prove  $H$  is unique up to a scalar, if  $V$  is an irreducible representation.

Now we have a conjugate linear map from  $V$  to  $V^*$ ,  $u \mapsto H(u, \cdot)$ . We can produce a conjugate linear automorphism of  $V$ ,  $\psi$ , which is  $G$ -stable, as follows: given  $u \in V$  there exists  $\psi(u) \in V$  such that  $B(u, \cdot) = H(\psi(u), \cdot)$ .

**Claim.**  $B(u, v) = \epsilon B(v, u)$ , where  $\epsilon^2 = 1$ .

*Proof.* Define  $B'(u, v) = B(v, u)$ . By the uniqueness up to scalar of  $B$ ,  $B' = \lambda B$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\lambda^2 = 1$ .  $\square$

$\psi \circ \psi = \psi^2 : V \rightarrow V$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Then, by Schur's lemma,  $\psi^2 = \lambda id_V$ . By definition,  $H(\psi(u), v) = B(u, v) = \epsilon B(v, u) = \epsilon H(u, \psi(v))$ . Applying this step twice we can show that  $H(\psi^2(u), v) = H(u, \psi^2(v))$ . This implies  $\bar{\lambda} H(u, v) = \lambda H(u, v)$ , and so  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ . Then  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Take  $v = \psi(u)$ . Then,  $H(\psi(u), \psi(u)) = \epsilon H(u, \psi^2(u)) = \epsilon \lambda H(u, u)$ . If  $u \neq 0$  then  $\psi(u) \neq 0$ .  $H(u, u) > 0$  and so  $H(\psi(u), \psi(u)) > 0$  and  $sgn(\lambda) = \epsilon$ . Scale by  $|\lambda|$  so that  $\lambda = \epsilon$ . Then,  $\psi : V \rightarrow V$  can be taken such that it is conjugate linear,  $G$ -linear and  $\psi^2 = \epsilon id_V$ .

Suppose  $\epsilon = 1$ , that is,  $B$  is symmetric. Since  $\psi^2 = 1$ ,  $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$ , where  $V_+$  and  $V_-$  are the eigenspaces of 1 and -1 respectively (regarding  $V$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ).  $\psi(iu) = -i\psi(u)$ . Multiplication by  $i$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear homomorphism from  $V$  to itself. If  $u \in V_+$ ,  $\psi(u) = u$ , then,  $\psi(iu) = -i\psi(u) = -iu$  and  $-iu \in V_-$ .  $i : V_+ \rightarrow V_-$ ,  $i : V_- \rightarrow V_+$ , are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear isometries. Then  $V = V_+ \oplus iV_+ = V_+ \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ , as a complex vector space, i.e.  $V$  is real.

Conversely, if  $V$  is a real irreducible representation, then there exists a non-degenerate bilinear  $G$ -stable pairing, symmetric on  $V$ :  $(u, v) = \sum_{g \in G} \langle gu, gv \rangle$ ,  $\langle, \rangle = B$ .

Now suppose  $\epsilon = -1$ , i.e.  $B$  is skew-symmetric. Then  $\psi^2 = -1 id_V$  and  $V$  is not real since it does not have a bilinear symmetric pairing.

**Claim.**  $V$  is a module over  $\mathbb{H}$ .

*Proof.*  $j \mapsto \psi$  gives an action of  $\mathbb{H}$  on  $V$ . Then  $V$  is an  $\mathbb{H}[G]$ -module.  $\square$

An  $\mathbb{H}$ -module is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -module ( $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} + i\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{H}$ ). Multiplication by  $j$  gives us a homomorphism,  $j : V \rightarrow V$ , where  $j(iv) = jiv = -ij(v) = -i(jv)$ ; i.e. multiplication by  $j$  is conjugate linear.

Summarizing: If  $V$  is an irreducible representation, then

$$V = \begin{cases} \text{complex} & \chi_V \notin \mathbb{R} \\ \text{real} & \chi_V \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } V \text{ real} \\ \text{quaternionic} & \chi_V \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } V \text{ an } \mathbb{H}\text{-module} \end{cases}$$

Schur Indicator:

Let  $\chi_V \in \mathbb{R}$ . We know

$$(\chi_{\wedge^2 V^*}, 1) = \begin{cases} 0 & B \text{ symmetric} \\ 1 & B \text{ skew symmetric} \end{cases}$$

We also know

$$(\chi_{\wedge^2 V^*}, 1) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g)^2 - \chi_V(g^2)$$

And since the character is real

$$(\chi_V, \chi_V) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g)^2 = 1$$

Therefore, what will determine whether the representation is real or not, called the Schur indicator, is the following:

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g^2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V \text{ is real} \\ -1 & \text{if } V \text{ is quaternionic} \\ 0 & \text{if } V \text{ is complex} \end{cases}$$