

A. Beilinson Differential Operators on Ind-Schemes

\mathbb{k} fixed base field. topological vector spaces
separated & complete.

3 types of \otimes on these:

i) finite collection of top. vect spaces

$\otimes V_i$ carries several topologies \Rightarrow different completions

$\otimes^* V_i$: strongest possible natural topology $\{\otimes^* V_i \rightarrow W\} =$
continuous polylinear maps

\otimes' V_i weakest: \otimes limits of finite things (discrete)

Intermediate ones depend on total ordering of indices:

$$V_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow V_1 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} V_2 \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \dots \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} V_n$$

Defined by induction: completion w.r.t. topology
on $\otimes V_i$. Define topology giving morphisms to
discrete r.s. F

allow $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \xrightarrow{\phi} F$ discrete s.t.

- $\exists U \subset V_n$ open, s.t. $\phi(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_{n-1} \otimes U) = 0$

- for $\forall v \in V_n$, the polylinear map

$$V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_v} F$$

continuous w.r.t. topology defined by induction

Some of these topologies (\otimes^* , $\overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes}$) are not
countable - hard to describe via projective l.h.s.

Then \otimes is either

Dennis

If $V_2 = \varprojlim W_i$ discrete (pro-vector space)

$\Rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2 = \varprojlim (V_1 \otimes W_i)$ projective limit
in category of pro-vector spaces

V pro-vector space, W vector space

$\Rightarrow V \otimes W = \varinjlim_{W_0 \subset W} (V \otimes W_0)$ inductive limit of pro-vector spaces —
fin.dim ie noncompleted tensor prod

Vect = Ind (fin.dim vect).

taking ind in pro-vect is not nice... just as taking pro-limits in vector spaces is not nice...

Sasha

Natural maps $\otimes^* \rightarrow \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} \rightarrow \overset{!}{\otimes}$

... more convenient to take

$$\underset{I}{\overset{\otimes^*}{\otimes}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{all linear} \\ \text{orderings of } I}} \overset{\rightarrow}{\otimes} = \overset{ch}{\otimes}$$

Polylinear operations on \otimes^* naturally compose.

Geometric origin of \otimes^{ch} : Chiral operations

X a curve, $x \xrightarrow{i_x} X \xleftarrow{j_x} U_x$ complement

M \mathcal{D}_X -module

Consider all possible quotients of M supported at x

$$\longleftrightarrow \text{all } P \subset M : P|_{U_X} = M|_{U_X}$$

- form a filter (\Rightarrow topology) on M : intersection of such is again such; anything containing such is as well.

$h(M/\mathbb{P})$ vector space (D-mod supported at $x \xrightarrow{\text{h}} V_x$)

(deRham cohomology) (or deRham cohomology on open disc \mathcal{O}_X)

Take $\varprojlim_{\mathbb{P}}$ $h(M/\mathbb{P})$: $h(\mathbb{P})$ form a topology on $h(M)$
 ↳ this is the completion of $h(M)$ wrt this topology

$\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ collection of D-mods, N another D-mod.

Consider $X \xrightarrow{\Delta^{(I)}} X^I \xleftarrow{j^{(I)}} V^{(I)}$

$\mathrm{Hom}(j_*^{(I)}, j^{(I)*}(\boxtimes M_i)) ; \Delta_*^{(I)} N = P_I^{\mathrm{ch}}(\{M_i\}, N)$ chiral
 operators

if Claim $j_*^{(I)} j^{(I)*}(\boxtimes j_* M_i)$ has a natural quotient

[M_i are D-mod on V_x , exact as $j_{*x} M_i$] equal to

$(\Delta^{(I)}_*) \otimes^{\mathrm{ch}} h_x^\wedge(j_* M_i)$ (sitting at (x, x, \dots, x))

- this is not the maximal quotient supported
 of (x, \dots, x) , just some quotient.

[Note: weaker topologies will define further quotients]

have Weierstrass topologies instead of $h_x(M)$, can say when
 a given chiral operation is continuous wrt these topologies.
 ask for the operation to factor through continuous
 maps on this quotient above.

A priori chiral operations need not be continuous wrt
 any of the standard topologies - almost never continuous
 wrt natural topologies. But for chiral algebra have
 continuity wrt topology formed by chiral subalgebras.

Some morphisms ... "continuous" ... descend to give morphisms
on h_x :

$$j_x^{(I)} j_x^{(i)*} \otimes j_{x*} M_i \rightarrow \Delta^{(I)} j_{x*} N_i$$

$$\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \otimes^{\text{cn}} h_x^i \longrightarrow h_x^i N_i$$

Given a chiral algebra, have topology formed by chiral subalgebras, claim: chiral operation is continuous
wrt this topology

Pf of Claim * Fix $P_i \subset j_{x*} M_i$, coinciding outside of x . $j_{x*} T_i := j_{x*} M_i / P_i$ corresponding vector space

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigotimes P_i \xrightarrow{V^{(1)}} \bigotimes j_{x*} M_i \xrightarrow{V^{(2)}} \bigotimes$$

$$\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \left(\bigotimes_{i' \in I \setminus \{i\}} j_{x*} M_{i'} \right) \otimes j_{x*} T_i \rightarrow 0$$

Now extend with poles to X^I : get a canonical gotten

$$j_x^{(2)} \left(\bigotimes j_{x*} M_i \right) \big|_{V^{(2)}} = \bigoplus j_x^{(I-i)} \bigotimes j_{x*} M_i \big|_{V^{(I-i)}} \otimes j_{x*} T_i$$

Now use induction hypothesis -- claim on $I-i$... &
take projective limit over all P_i to get our desired gotten.

131

X reasonable formally smooth pre-schae

A (do D on $X \rightarrow$ a chiral extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\flat \rightarrow 0$$

extension of topological vector spaces, + topological \mathcal{O}_X
bi-module -- using \otimes^* tensors --

+ Lie \star -algebra structure, wrt \otimes^*

Denote $D = \mathcal{O}_X^\flat$

$$\tau \in (\mathcal{O}_X^\flat)^b, f \in \mathcal{O}_X \Rightarrow \tau f - f\bar{\tau} = \bar{\tau}(f) \in Q \subset \mathcal{O}_X^\flat$$

$$[\bar{\tau}, f\tau] = \bar{\tau}(f)\tau' + f[\bar{\tau}, \tau'], \text{ same for } f \mapsto \bar{f}$$

& $1 \in \mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{O}_X^\flat$ central -

(cdots) \rightarrow (chiral extensions) is fully faithful!
-- presumably an equivalence ("PBU")

Chiral extensions are a torsor over the Picard groupoid of

Naive extensions:

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X^\flat)^{\text{naive}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

• $\mathcal{O}_X^\text{naive}$ Lie \star -algebra extension

• $\mathcal{O}_X^\text{naive}$ \mathcal{O}_X -module ~~coactions~~ -- action on naives
wrt $\otimes^!$ action -- & a Lie \star -algebra

i.e. replace \otimes^* bimodule by $\otimes^!$ module ...

Clar - Naive extensions are Picard groupoid -- have Baer sum &

Baer sum of chiral + naive is chiral
Baer difference of chiral is naive ---

So the gro-oid of chiral extensions (if necessary) is
a Torsor wrt Picard gro-oid of nile extensions.

Why? take Baer difference of chiral extensions

$\Rightarrow Q_X$ -binable, but now symmetric!

obstruction to symmetric $\mathcal{T} f - f \mathcal{T} = \bar{\mathcal{E}}(f)$ is

zero for both, so cancels in difference —

(at first glance)

--- continuous wrt \otimes in both orders

\Rightarrow continuous wrt \otimes !

How to define clos? on gro-oid we used left, right
transformations by Lie algebra.

Generally: g a Lie \mathfrak{Lie} -algebra (Lie algebra, actions
in X sense) $\&$ acts on space X

\Rightarrow morphism $g \rightarrow Q_X$

Extend to $Q_X \otimes^* g \rightarrow Q_X$, formally simply transitive

if this is an isomorphism

Lemma Suppose g act on X is formally simply transitive

$\Rightarrow \exists!$ chiral extension $0 \rightarrow Q_X \rightarrow Q_X^0 \rightarrow Q_X \rightarrow 0$
equipped with a lifting
of g compatible with bracket

How to construct?

w.r.t.
 $0 \rightarrow Q_X \otimes^* g \rightarrow Q_X^{ch} g \rightarrow Q_X g \rightarrow 0$

$Q_X \overset{\sim}{\otimes} g \oplus Q_X \overset{\sim}{\otimes} g$

(Have such exact sequence for any two topological vector space)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \otimes^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \otimes^{\text{c}} \mathcal{O}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \otimes^1 \mathcal{O}_y \rightarrow 0$$

\downarrow action of \mathcal{O}_y \downarrow pushout \downarrow

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_x^b) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_x^b)_x \rightarrow 0$$

Conversely, \mathcal{O}_y sits in $\mathcal{O}_x^b \Rightarrow$ can multiply from left & from right by functions, recover \mathcal{O}_x at end.

This gives \mathcal{O}_x^b as topological vector space.

Note that $\mathcal{O}_x^b \subset \mathcal{O}_x$ Lie* subalgebras (though not closed)

In fact ~~they~~ they are not Lie* subalgebras (~~closed~~)
 (concrete): $\mathcal{O}_y \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$ is normal (ideal)

$$\mathcal{O}_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_y : \left\{ \sum_{i_1 \rightarrow 0} f_i \otimes \tau_i \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_y : \left\{ \sum_{g_1 \rightarrow 0} g_i \otimes \eta_i \right\}$$

Any vector field is sum of two sd: these span, & we know their relations

We have sections

$$(\mathcal{O}_x^b) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x$$

compatible with bracket

$$\mathcal{O}_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_y \quad \mathcal{O}_y \otimes \mathcal{O}_x$$

in fact they define bracket on \mathcal{O}_x^b .

Now define bimodule structure ...

$$\left[\sum_{\tilde{\epsilon}_i \rightarrow 0} f_i \otimes \tilde{\epsilon}_i, \sum_{g_j \rightarrow 0} g_j \otimes \eta_j \right] \in Q \overset{\leftarrow}{\otimes} g$$

$f(\tilde{\epsilon}(g)) \otimes \eta$: $f(\tilde{\epsilon}(g)) \rightarrow 0$ if $\tilde{\epsilon}, g \rightarrow 0$.

X ind scheme, ^{formal write}, $\Omega = \text{Sym}^1(\mathcal{R}_X[-1])$ ^{obj + src} Ω -dim exterior

[Need to trivialize dlog of determinant gerbe of
cotangent bundle to define cdo. . . .]

Ω is a graded superalgebra

$\text{Spec } \Omega^\bullet$ graded super ind scheme.

$\Omega^\bullet \Omega_X$. As dg scheme, with de Rham differential ?
denote it by $D\Omega(X)$

Claim $\exists !$ DG cdo $\mathcal{D}_{DR} / D\Omega(X)$

-- \mathbb{Z} -graded, with odd differential

(unique, exists modulo PBW : we'll only construct the
corresponding chiral extension).

Extra rigidity: $d : \mathcal{D}_{DR}$ is closed in $D\Omega(X)$.

If X is a Tate scheme (each closed subscheme locally
product a scheme of finite type by ordim affine space)
then no problem.

\Rightarrow Formal smoothness: condition only on 0, 1st pieces of
cotangent complex. In fin dim this implies all
cohomologies of cotangent complex vanish, ie smoothness.

Why? $\Omega_X \Rightarrow$ start exact sequence on tangents

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_X/X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_X} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{O}_X} \rightarrow 0$$

~~$\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_X/X}$~~ is generated by elements in degree -1:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_X/X} = \Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X^{[+1]}$$

So lowest component of \mathcal{O}_{Ω_X} is $\mathcal{O}_X[1]$.

Differential gives isomorphism of subbundle to quotient bundle ("over condition" --- strict Griffiths transversality for differential).

$$\mathcal{O}_X[1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X[0] = \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_X/k}$$

$$\text{deg zero part } \mathcal{O}_{\Omega_X}^0 \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^0 \oplus (\mathcal{I}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$$

0 component of the chiral exterior : piece of Clifford algebra.

Components of degree -1 & 0 are binable over \mathcal{O}_X .

Claim makes sense in finiteness : $\exists' \text{ DG cdg } \dots$

Say some words in \mathcal{O} situation (with some conditions).

Main point : construction makes sense, since left & right module structures are continuous.

... say as construction of $D_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{Q})$

Clifford algebra of contracting multiplication & Lie action by vector fields.

Need de Rham d.Hat.al! Lie catg \rightarrow catg
by map $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\times})' \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_X^{\times})^0$.

D_X -mod := modules over this algebra

Need PBW to know there are nonzero
 D_X -modules ...

$T\mathbb{T}_X$! add odd coordinates — nilpotent! so category
of D_X -modules is same as on X itself... so
this gives way to define D -modules in ∞ dim, even
when there are no cdgs on X itself.

This takes a left D-mod to its de Rham complex
That's what we get as primary objects in ∞ dim...
Might not admit a grading in ∞ dimensions

— since it's not coming from \mathcal{O}_X -module! don't have
beginning or end of the de Rham complex

To get \mathcal{O}_X -module of shape we want! need to pick
a Fermion module — direct module for $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{S}^L)$,
— want its restriction to any part to be integrable.
This Fermion module may not exist!
if it exists, unique up to twisting by a line bundle
— so Fermion modules form Torsor over Picard category
of line bundles.

A choice of such fibres \mathcal{O}_D on X is equivalent
between modules over this cdg & $D_{DR(X)}$...

Finite dim: dR complex $\Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Cliff}}(\text{Fermion module}, dR_M)$
is TDO module on X ...

$$\text{degree } 200$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Cliff}_1^0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ DR \end{pmatrix}^{b,0} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ X \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0$$

[where $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{Cliff}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$ order 1 piece]

Let V be a Fermion module.

{ Consider $\mathcal{O}_X^\sim = \{(\tau \in \mathcal{O}_X, \tilde{\tau} \text{ its lift to an action on } V) \}$
 $\tilde{\tau}$ acts as a cliff, denoted $\tilde{\tau}$ commutes with cliff in the lifts
 os Ondale }

Now look at pairs of elements of $\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ DR \end{pmatrix}^{b,0}$ & of \mathcal{O}_X^\sim

with same underlying vector field & same commutation relation with the Clifford algebra

Such pairs form an extension of \mathcal{O}_X by Cliff^0

M DR -module $\Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Cliff}}(V, M)$ carries action of cdo on X .

How to go from V to cdo on X ?

First consider it consisting of triples $(\tau, \tilde{\tau}_{DR}, \tilde{\tau}_V)$: $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_X$,
 larger extension, $\tilde{\tau}_{DR} \in \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ DR \end{pmatrix}^{b,0}$, $\tilde{\tau}_V$ is an action of $\tilde{\tau}$ on V

s.t. the derivation of Cliff^0 given by adjoint action

$\text{Ad } \tilde{\tau}_{DR}$ denotes the commutation between

$\tilde{\tau}_V$ & Cliff^0 acting on V

$$[\tilde{\tau}_V, \gamma] = \text{Ad } \tilde{\tau}_{DR}(\gamma) \quad \forall \gamma \in \text{Cliff}.$$

C_{iff} sits inside these triples, inside the locus
 $\bar{c} = 0$... in fact it is normal.

Take quotient $(\bar{c}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau}_v) / \langle C_{\text{iff}} \rangle =:$ our chiral
- Note all this story see in finite dimensions! ^{extension}

All choices of bases of fixed Clifford modules are
differ by just by the basis..