

D. Gaitsgory - Geometric Eisenstein Series

12/1/99

$$X \text{ curve as usual (1/6 for simplicity). } K = \mathbb{C}(x), A, G, \text{ reductive, } H[G, G] = 0$$

$V \in B_{\text{reg}}$, $\Lambda = \text{weights of } T = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$

$T \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Bun}_B \xrightarrow{q} \text{Bun}_T$

$\text{Bun}_B = \{(F_G, F_T, \{x^{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}) \mid x^{\lambda}: L_{F_T}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\text{Lie subbundle}} V_{F_G}^{\lambda} \text{ satisfy Pl\"ucker}\}$

$\text{Pl\"ucker: } \mu, \lambda^*, \nu \xrightarrow{\text{Lie subbundle}} L_{F_T}^{\lambda^*} \otimes L_{F_T}^{\mu^*} \rightarrow V_{F_G}^{\lambda^*} \otimes V_{F_G}^{\mu^*} \xleftrightarrow{\text{commutes}}$

(x^{λ} 's determined by those for fund. weights) $\xrightarrow{\Delta_{E_T}^{\lambda^* \mu^* \nu^*}} V_{F_G}^{\lambda^* \mu^* \nu^*}$

$\text{Bun}_B = \{(F_G, F_T, \{x^{\lambda}\}) \mid x^{\lambda} \text{ injective map of stacks}\} \dots \text{precisely injective}$

sheaf map for every geometric point.

$$\Rightarrow \bar{p}, \bar{q} \text{ to } F_G, F_T.$$

$Eis: Sh(Bun_T) \longrightarrow Sh(Bun_B)$ $Sh: \text{derived category of } \begin{cases} D\text{-mod} \\ (C) \end{cases}$

$$Eis(S) = \bar{p}_! (\bar{q}^*(S) \otimes I_{\overline{\text{Bun}_B}})[\dim \text{Bun}_T] \quad (\text{--- as integral kernel ---})$$

- makes \$Eis\$ self-dual ...

Note: Bun_B not smooth so \bar{q}^* doesn't commute with Verdier duality
 \bar{p} proper on each component, $! = *$...

Theorem 1. $S \rightarrow \bar{q}^*(S) \otimes I_{\overline{\text{Bun}_B}}$ commutes with Verdier duality

(locally q decomposes into product ...)

2. $j: \text{Bun}_B \rightarrow \overline{\text{Bun}_B}$. S perverse $\Rightarrow \bar{q}^*(S) \otimes I_{\overline{\text{Bun}_B}}$
 is just $j_{!*}(\bar{q}^*(S))$ [SLAF]

Suppose E_T is T -local system on $X \xrightarrow{\text{cliffton CFT}}$ Aut_{E_T} automorphic
 perverse sheaf on Bun_T - just 1d local system (with $Sh(T)$)

$$F_T^\circ \text{ trivial } T\text{-lisse}. \quad F_T^\circ = F_T^\circ(\sum_i \mu_i x_i) \quad \mu_i \in \Lambda^*$$

$$\text{So fiber } (\text{Aut}_{E_T})_{F_T^\circ} = \bigotimes_i (E_T^{\mu_i})_{x_i} \quad E_T^{\mu_i} \text{ assoc line bundle.}$$

$$T^\vee \hookrightarrow G^\vee \Rightarrow \text{induced local systems } E_T \rightarrow E_{G^\vee}.$$

Theorem 1 $Eis(\text{Aut}_{E_T})$ is a Hecke eigensheaf wrt E_{G^\vee} .

(would fail if we didn't compactify Bun_B ...)

Theorem 2 (Functional equation) Assume E_T is regular (G_m : local systems are
 pairwise non-isomorphic ... G : every root get 1d local sys, want all contained)
 \Rightarrow classical Eis has no poles)

$$Eis(\text{Aut}_{E_T}) \cong Eis(\text{Aut}_{E_{G^\vee}}) \quad \forall w \in W$$

Note: $E_T \neq w E_T$ but induced G -bundles are.

Note: This isom is not canonical: need not just well
but lift $\sigma \in N(\gamma) \subset G$. Monodromy (ambiguity)
is given by action on $Eis(\text{Aut}_{E_T})$ of dual Cartan.

let $Eis'(\text{Aut}_{E_T}) = P_!(g^*(S) \otimes I(B_{\text{sh}}))[\text{dR}, B_{\text{ur}}]$: no compatibility.

$$B_{\text{ur}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{\text{ur}}^{(n)} \quad \text{Aut}_{E_T} = \bigoplus_n \text{Aut}_{E_T}^{(n)}$$

Intrinsic grading series in K -group $\sum_m Eis(\text{Aut}_{E_T}^{(m)}) f^m \in K(\text{sh})[[f^\wedge]]$

$$\underline{\text{Theorem 3}} \quad \sum_m Eis(\text{Aut}_{E_T}^{(m)}) f^m = \sum_n Eis'(\text{Aut}_{E_T}^{(n)}) f^n \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} L(\alpha(E_T), f^\wedge)$$

$$\text{where } L(\alpha(E_T), f^\wedge) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f^{n\alpha} \cdot H^*(X^{(n)}, (\alpha(E_T))^{(n)})$$

abelian L-series (α char of T^\vee).

- easy modulo knowledge of $\mathcal{IC}_{B_{\text{ur}}}$
stalks of \mathcal{IC} have simple conjectural description which is equiv to Thm 3.

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in X, K_x, \mathcal{O}_x. \quad \text{Gr}_G = G_K / G_{K_x} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda^+} \overline{\text{Gr}_G^\lambda} \text{ closures of } G_\lambda \text{-orbits} \\ & Sph_G = G_K \text{-equiv per. stalks on } \text{Gr}_G \quad \text{dom. ranges} \\ & \cong \text{Rep } G^\vee. \quad \mathcal{IC}_{\overline{\text{Gr}_G^\lambda}} \longleftrightarrow V_\lambda^\wedge. \end{aligned}$$

Sph_G acts on $Sh(B_{\text{ur}})$: $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}_F}, H(V, \cdot) : Sh(B_{\text{ur}}) \rightarrow Sh(B_{\text{ur}})$
Hecke endos.

$G = T$: $G_T \cong \mathbb{A}$ as sets ($= T_K / T_{K_x}$), $Sph_T = \mathbb{A}$ -graded red. series.
 $H_T(\lambda, \cdot)$ is the pullback functor as $B_{\text{ur}} \xrightarrow{\pi} B_{\text{ur}}$,
 $m_T(F_T) = F_T(-\lambda \cdot x)$.

Theorem 1' $V \in \text{Rep } G^\vee, S \in Sh(B_{\text{ur}})$

$$H_G(V, Eis(S)) = Eis(H_T(R_{\text{reg}}^\wedge(V), S)) \oplus Eis(m_T^*(S) \otimes V(\lambda))$$

weight subspaces

Satake map (classical): $Eis' : C_c^\infty(G_A / K_B) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G_A / G_K)$
 $\text{Ind}_{B_K}^{G_A}(C_c^\infty(T_K / T_x))$

Variational take G_A invariants

Lemma If π is a representation of \overline{K}_F , then

$$(\text{Ind}_{\overline{G}_F}^{G_F}(\pi))^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \pi^{\text{Tor}} \text{ compatibility with Hecke actions:}$$

$$\exists \text{Sat} : H(G_F, G_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow H(\overline{K}_F, \overline{I}_F), h \cdot v = \text{Sat}(h) \cdot v.$$

Formula: $\text{Sat}(h) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \text{Sat}^\mu(h) \cdot \mu \dots$ (1) to describe $\text{Sat}^\mu(h)$
 look at U_{K_F} -arcs in $G_F/G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, $\lambda \mu \mapsto s_\mu = U_{K_F} \cdot \tilde{\mu} \subset G_F$.
 $\text{Sat}^\mu(h) = \int_{S_\mu} h(g) dg$ (discrete set...)

Geometrically $G_F = \bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda} S_\mu$ locally closed sub-subset

$$\text{Sat} : S_{\text{Rep}_F} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} S_{\text{Rep}_T}, \text{Sat}(S) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda} \text{Sat}^\mu(S)$$

$$\text{Sat}^\mu(S) = H_c^0(S_\mu, S|_{S_\mu}) [\langle \mu, 2\rho \rangle]$$

cohomology has only one degree -
 but this is hard!

Hecke
for
 G

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{K}_F^\lambda & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} & \{(F_G, F'_G, B: F_G = F'_G/x \cdot x) / \forall \lambda' \in \Lambda^+, \\ & \xleftarrow{h} & V_{F_G}^{\lambda'}(-\langle \lambda, \lambda' \rangle \lambda) \hookrightarrow V_{F_G}^{\lambda'} \hookrightarrow V_{F_G}^{\lambda'}(-\langle \lambda, \lambda' \rangle x) \\ \text{Bun}_G & & \end{array}$$

- \overline{F} fibration with fibers $(\overline{F})^{-1}(F_G) \cap \overline{G_F^\lambda}$, $w_0 = \text{long word}$
 $(\overline{F})^{-1}(F'_G) \sim \overline{G_F}^{-w_0}$.

$$H_G(V^\lambda, S) = \underset{IS}{\text{H}_*} \left(\overline{F}^{-1}(S) \otimes I(\overline{G_F^\lambda}) \right) [\text{dim } \text{Bun}_G]$$

$\overset{\cong}{\otimes} I(\overline{G_F^\lambda})^{-w_0}$ twisted exterior tensor product
 (wrt $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi: Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{F}' & & \\ \text{Bun}_G & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \\ & \square & & & \\ \text{Bun}_G & \xrightarrow{\overline{K}_F^\lambda} & \text{Bun}_G & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & \text{Bun}_G \end{array}$$

Prop $\exists \phi$ (proper map) which
 makes the left square commutative.

$$\text{Proof } Z = \{(F_G, F'_G, F_T, B, \{X^{\lambda'}\})\}$$

$$\phi(h_3) = (F_G, F_T, \{X^{\lambda'}\}).$$

$$\text{new } F_T = F_T \cdot (w_0(\lambda) \cdot x)$$

$$V_{F_G}^{\lambda'} \sim V_{F_G}^{\lambda'} \text{ on } X \cdot x$$

so have $V_{F_G}^{x^\vee} \sim V_{F_{\bar{G}}}^{x^\vee}$ (Ch: x^\vee is well-defined
 \downarrow
 $L_{F_T}^{x^\vee} \sim L_{F_{\bar{T}}}^{x^\vee}$ & regular (no root).

Example G_2 , $\lambda = (1)$. M sent two paths

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mu \hookrightarrow \lambda) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\lambda \hookrightarrow \mu) \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mu \hookrightarrow \mu) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\mu) \end{array} \quad \phi(\mu \hookrightarrow \lambda) = (\mu, L(-x))$$

$\lambda \supset \lambda'$ dominant

λ^{pos} positive coroots: pos. linear comb of pos. roots
 (for general G reductive don't have $\Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda^{\text{pos}}$)

$$v \in \Lambda^{\text{pos}} \Rightarrow i_v: \overline{\text{Bun}_B} \hookrightarrow \overline{\text{Bun}_B}.$$

$$i_v(F_G, F_T, \{L^\vee\}) = (F_G, F_{T^v}, \{X'^{\vee}\})$$

$$\text{where } F_{T^v} = F_T(-v \cdot x), \quad \lambda'^{\vee}: L_{F_T}^{x^\vee} \xrightarrow{x} L_{F_{T^v}}^{x^\vee} (-\langle v, \lambda \rangle \cdot x)$$

- just introduce zero artificially.

Example G_2 $(\mu, L \hookrightarrow \mu) \rightarrow (\mu, L(-\alpha_\alpha))$

$$\text{Theorem 1''} \quad \phi_!(\mathcal{IC}_Z) = \bigoplus_{v \in \Lambda^{\text{pos}}} i_v^*(\overline{\text{Bun}_B}) \otimes V(w \cdot \lambda + v)$$

Theorem 1' Note: I^\bullet locally trivial, so \mathcal{IC}_Z comes from tensor of \mathcal{IC} 's:

$$\text{Lemma} \quad \mathcal{IC}_Z = \tilde{P}^* (\mathcal{IC}_{\overline{\text{Bun}_B}}) \otimes I^{\bullet \times} (\mathcal{IC}_{\overline{\text{Bun}_B}}) [\dim \text{Bun}_B]$$

Content of Thm 1'': map is stratified semi-simplicial.

Proof $v \in \Lambda^{\text{pos}}$ introduce $(1) \quad \overline{\text{Bun}_B} = \text{Im}(i_v(\text{Bun}_B)),$ closed

$$(2) \quad \overline{\text{Bun}_B} = \left(\overline{\text{Bun}_B} \setminus \bigcup_{v' > v} \overline{\text{Bun}_B} \right) \xrightarrow{i_v} \overline{\text{Bun}_B}$$

$$(3) \quad \overline{\text{Bun}_B} = \text{Im}(i_v(\text{Bun}_B))$$

(F_*, \bar{F}_*, K^*) : (1) K^* has zero of order $\geq \langle v, \lambda \rangle$ $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{X}^*$.

(2) " " " " " " = "

(3) + no zeros away from x .

Proposition 1 a. $j_v^* \phi_1(I(Z))$ lies in coh. degs ≤ 0 .

b. $j_v^* \phi_1(I(Z))|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{reg}} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{sing}}} \text{ " " " " } < 0$.

c. $j_v^* \phi_1(I(Z))|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{reg}}} = V^\lambda (v_0 w + v)$ constant stalk ($v_0 \neq 0$)

Prop \Rightarrow Thm by decomposition theorem: a. \Rightarrow pure.

perverse stalk (if not sm) - by duality get deg ≤ 0 & > 0 .

b, c say what are the stalks.

Proof of Prop $v, v' \in \Lambda^{\text{pos}}, \lambda' \in \Lambda^*$.

Introduce $\sum_{v, v', \lambda} Z^{v, v', \lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

$$\phi_1(\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{reg}}) \cap (\mathbb{H})^{-1} /_{v, \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{reg}}} \cap (\bar{\rho})^{-1} (G_0^\lambda)$$

$$\text{Let us denote by } K^{v, v', \lambda} = \phi_1(I(Z) /_{Z^{v, v', \lambda}})$$

(reformulated) Proposition 2 a. $K^{v, v', \lambda}$ lies in coh. deg ≤ 0 , & inequality is strict unless $v' = \lambda' = 0$.

b. $K^{v, 0, \lambda}|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{reg}} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{sing}}}$ lies in coh. deg ≤ 0 .

c. $|K^{v, 0, \lambda}|_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{reg}}} = V^\lambda (v_0 w + v) \otimes \text{constant stalk}$

Now use geometry of affine Grassmannian:

$Z^{v, v', \lambda}$ locally trivial fibration, with fiber
 $\text{Gr}_0^{\lambda'} \cap S^{v-v+w_0(\lambda)} \quad (V_k\text{-orbit})$

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \phi \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{reg}} \end{array}$$

$Z^{v, v', \lambda}$ locally triv. fibration, with fiber:
 $\text{Gr}_0^{-w_0 \lambda'} \cap S^{-v-v'+w_0(\lambda)}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \mathbb{H} \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{reg}} \end{array}$$

Facts

1. $\dim(S_\mu \cap G_G^\lambda) \leq \langle \mu + \lambda, \rho \rangle$
2. $H_c^{(\mu+\lambda, 2\mu)}(S_\mu \cap G_G^\lambda, \mathbb{C}) = V^\lambda(\mu)$ (Schur.)

- these are all necessary estimates.

Mirković proves this by induction... ~~on~~ in fact of functions known leading term for q from classical Stake.

lower strata don't contribute to top cohomology - repeat
IC by C.-