

M. Emerton p-adic Langlands - Global Motives

1/27/06

Fix a prime  $p$ .

Basic objects in Number Theory :  $\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\text{continuous}} GL_2(A)$

$A$ : p-adic ring / field

$\text{Gal } \bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}$

Assume  $\mathbb{Q}$  unramified away from  $p \& \infty$

Form the "representation variety"  $\mathcal{X}$  classifying such  $\rho$ . If  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  were finitely presented, get a real variety: it's not though but we can imagine its the completion of a fin. presented group; it essentially behaves this way  $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a rigid analytic space --- due to regularity on generators needed for continuous representations.

Instead it's traditional (following Mazur, '80s)

to fix  $\tilde{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(k)$

( $k$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ) & look at  $\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\rho}}$  = lifts of  $\tilde{\rho}$ , get a formal scheme

$\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{\rho}} = \text{Spf } R_{\tilde{\rho}}$

this way over a ring  $\mathcal{O}$  ( $p$ -adic integers) with residue field  $k$ .

Examples of  $\rho$ : come from cuspidal eigenforms on  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash GL_2(A)$

of level a power of  $p$ .

First classification: Mazur? (conjecturally), with  $\det \rho(c) = 1$   
or holomorphic ✓  $\det \rho(c) = -1$

... related to different structure at  $\infty$ .

[ $c = \text{complex conjugate}$ ]

From now on assume  $\det \tilde{\rho}(c) = -1$ .



f not cusp. eigenform

$\leftrightarrow P_f$  Galois repr. assume  $P_f = \tilde{\rho} \bmod p$

Q: Given a  $\rho \in \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$  when does it occur as a  $\rho_r$ .

Conjecture (Fontaine-Mazur, Langlands)

$\rho \in \mathcal{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$  is of the form  $\rho_r$  (up to Frob twist)

$\iff \rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  is potentially semistable in the sense of Fontaine.

(reps detected by Fontaine's ring  $B_{st}$ )

Let  $Spt \overline{\Pi} \hookrightarrow Spt R$  be the Zariski closure of the  $\rho_r$ .

Aside on structure of  $R, \overline{\Pi}$ : reps are determined

via Eichler-Shimura by forms of following

$$\mathcal{O}[\{T_\ell\}_{\ell \neq p}] \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} R \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} \overline{\Pi}$$

$T_\ell \mapsto$  trace of  $Frob_\ell$   $\rightarrow T_\ell : \ell^{\text{th}}$  Hecke eigenvalue  
OR  $\rho$

$\overline{\Pi}$  = smallest quotient which acts on any cusp form

Points of  $Spt \overline{\Pi}$  are  $p$ -adic eigenforms

Conjecture (Mazur)  $Spt \overline{\Pi} = Spt R$ .

i.e. motivic points are dense.

Different than may  $R=T$  claims: there we only consider weights which are potentially semistable in our new varieties, get small  $R, T$  conjecture, close to Fontaine-Mazur. This conjecture is more about  $p$ -adic interpolation

Gouvea-Mazur: explain 3 dimensionality of these loci.

1 dim twisting by character

2 dims Hida / Coleman-Mazur families ( $\sim$  square elliptic curve)

Results: Block in some cases, Kisin-Emerton in many cases ... in particular if  $\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  pt2 is irred

One goal of p-adic Langlands: use rep theory of  $GL_2$  to understand such questions.

At each  $p_f$  we have a  $\bar{\Pi}_p(f)$ : smooth  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -rep.

Can we interpolate these over  $\mathcal{X}_{\bar{P}}$ ?

No. but seems to be yes with slight modification.

(future) Pro-frobenius (Colmez, following a strategy of Kisin)

If  $\bar{P}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \neq \text{twist } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$

$\exists$  a pro-free  $\hat{\pi}$  Banach module  $\hat{\pi}/R_{\bar{P}}$  w/ action of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  s.t. the fiber of  $\hat{\pi}$  at a classical point  $p_f$  (where  $f$  has wt  $\geq 2$ ) is a completion of  $\bar{\Pi}_p(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{C}_p$

-- proof will be purely local, on deformation functors.

Bad case above: on Galois side has singular deformations, on automorphic forms translate finding good candidate

Another structure having some ingredients: cohomology of modular curves.

A ring  $H_A^! := \varinjlim H_A^!(X(r), A) \xrightarrow{\sim} GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Theorem (Eichler-Shimura, Igusa, Langlands, Deligne, (crazyl))  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^! := \bigoplus_{\text{wt } f=2} P_f \otimes \bar{\Pi}_p(f)$$

For  $H_G^!$  this is not at all true! different cusp forms have congruences between them: can take differences, divide by  $p$ , get forms that can't be decomposed as sums.

$\widehat{H}'_G$  :  $p$ -adic completion of  $H'_G$   
 $G_\alpha \curvearrowright GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  ... could a priori have been zero,  
 but in fact  $H'_G \hookrightarrow \widehat{H}'_G$

In fact  $(\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H'_G)_{\text{smooth}} = (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes \widehat{H}'_G)_{\text{smooth}}$ :

i.e. smooth vectors are just the obvious ones,  
 rest of rep isn't smooth.

Let  $\widehat{H}'_{0,\bar{\rho}} = \bar{\rho}$ -part of  $\widehat{H}'_G$ : direct summand  
 defined by considering  $H'_G \cap \bigoplus_{P \in \bar{\rho}} \text{pr}_P \circ \pi_P(f)$   
 $P \in \bar{\rho}$  map

Away from  $p$  all Hecke operators  $T_f$  act at  
 every level, hence at  $(\text{inf})$ , hence on  $\widehat{H}'$ .

In fact  $\Pi$  acts on  $\widehat{H}'_G$ , faithfully.  
 .... this Zariski closure of all  $f$ 's of weight 2  
 gives same as for all weights! secretly  
 know about other weights.

We can think of  $\widehat{H}'_G$  "as"  $\text{Sht} \Pi \hookrightarrow \text{Sht } R$ .

What is the structure of  $\widehat{H}'_G$ ? guess some kind  
 of direct integral of Galois reps  $\otimes$  interpolating a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -rep.

Conjecture Assume  $\bar{\rho}$  abs. irreducible,  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \not\cong \text{twist} \otimes (\det)^*$   
 so that  $\widehat{\Pi}$  is defined.

$\Pi$  has  $\widehat{H}'_G \cong \text{pr}_{\bar{\rho}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \widehat{\Pi} \otimes \text{Hom}(R, \mathbb{Z}_p)$

put in all needed terms  
 since rest of the factors are free.

$\Rightarrow$  any  $\rho$  that looks it start to classical is.

Use Jacquet modules, smooth vectors etc  
once have this conjecture since LHS is something  
you control.

Theorem ( $\bar{\rho}$  as in the conjecture)

Let  $X = \text{Hom}_{R\bar{\rho}}[G_Q \times_{Q_p} Q_p] (P_{R\bar{\rho}} \otimes_{R\bar{\rho}} \widehat{\Pi}, \widehat{H}'_{G, \bar{\rho}})$ .

Then : 1.  $X$  is supported on  $\text{Supp } \widehat{\Pi}$ .

2. The reduction map  $P_{R\bar{\rho}} \otimes \widehat{\Pi} \otimes X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \widehat{H}'_{G, \bar{\rho}}$   
is a surjection after  $\otimes Q_p$

3. If  $\text{End}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Q_p}}) = k$  then ev. is an  $\cong$ .

What about the funny case  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{Q_p}} = \text{twist} \otimes (\wedge^* \omega)$

$\exists!$  non-trivial extension

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Int}_{\overline{S}}^{GL_2} \omega^{-1} \otimes \omega \rightarrow E \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$$

but  $E$  is not the right rep to put in: need also  
a 1-dim trivial rep  $\dots \dots$  get a  $P^1$  worth of  
non-trivial extensions  $\dots \dots$  resolve singularity in  
deformation space to get  $C_P$