

Mitya Boyarchenko - Characters of unipotent

Note Title

8/30/2007

groups over finite fields

1. General remarks

Def An algebraic group over a field k is a smooth group scheme of finite type / k

If G is an algebraic group over \mathbb{F}_q then the set $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is a finite group

Goal: study representations of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in terms of the geometry of G

There are at least two approaches:

1. Try to realize irreducible reps of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in cohomology of algebraic varieties $H^i(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ (X/\mathbb{F}_q with G action)
 \Rightarrow Deligne-Lusztig theory for G reductive

2. Relate irreducible characters of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over \mathbb{Q}_l to the trace functions

associated to some $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves on G
(Lusztig's character sheaves, for
 G reductive)

Reminder about sheaves to functions correspondence:

$X =$ scheme of finite type / \mathbb{F}_q
 l prime $\neq \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$

\Rightarrow well behaved notion of a constructible
 $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ sheaf on X

If M is such a sheaf \rightsquigarrow function

$$f_M: X(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$$

$$f_M(x) = \text{tr}(\varphi^{-1}; x^* M)$$

$x = \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow X$, $x^*(M)$ is a fin dim

$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -rep of $\text{Gal } \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q \ni \varphi = \text{Frobenius}$
 $\varphi(a) = a^q$

Example: Artin-Schreier local system:

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q, +) \rightarrow G_a \rightarrow G_a \rightarrow 0$$

$$x \mapsto x^2 - x$$

over \mathbb{F}_q

This map is an étale cover of G_a
(in fact G_a is for $(\mathbb{F}_q, +)$)

So given a character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$

the Artin-Schreier cover gives a rank
1 local system defined by ψ ,

& its trace function $\boxed{t_{\psi} = \psi}$

Generalization: replace G_a by any
commutative connected group over \mathbb{F}_q
Artin-Schreier \rightsquigarrow Lang isogeny

$$0 \rightarrow G(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{L} G \rightarrow 0$$

$$g \mapsto \text{Fr}(g) - g$$

So any character of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ (G commutative)
can be promoted to a stack on G !

We want to study irreducible characters of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$, G a connected unipotent group over \mathbb{F}_q .

Recall : If G is a unipotent group / \mathbb{R} ,
(\hookrightarrow connected & simply connected nilpotent Lie group)

we have Kirillov's orbit method, describing

\hat{G} in terms of coadjoint action $G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}^*$.

In particular every unitary irrep of G can be realized in L^2 sections of a G -equivariant unitary line bundle on some homogeneous space X for G .

Very naive analog

$G =$ connected unipotent group / \mathbb{F}_q

Can hope that any irrep of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ can be realized in the space of functions

$\{ X(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \}$ where X/\mathbb{F}_q a variety

with a transitive G -action.

There is a class for which this can always be done ...

If this could be done \Rightarrow the dim of any irrep of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ would have to be a power of q . (since $X \cong \mathbb{A}^d$ for some d)

This is not always the case!

First counterexample (Lusztig, 2003)

maximal unipotent of symplectic group / \mathbb{F}_2

If $G =$ max unipotent of $Sp(q)$ over \mathbb{F}_2

where q is an even power of 2

\rightarrow have irreps of dimension $q^{1/2}$.

A different class of counterexamples:

"fake Heisenberg groups" over \mathbb{F}_q

$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 1$
nontrivial central extension of \mathbb{G}_a !

any noncommutative G of this form is called a Hecke Heisenberg group. (works in any characteristic)

2. Unipotent groups with connected centralizers

Def An algebraic group G/k has connected centralizers if the centralizer of any geometric point $g \in G(\bar{k})$ in $G \otimes_k \bar{k}$ is connected.

Main Theorem! Let G be a unipotent group over \mathbb{F}_q with connected centralizers. For any irrep

ρ of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ there exist a connected closed subgroup $H \subset G$ and a one-dim representation χ of $H(\mathbb{F}_q)$ s.t.

$$\rho = \text{Inf}_{H(\mathbb{F}_q)}^{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}(\chi) \quad (\Rightarrow \dim \rho \text{ is a power of } q, \text{ in fact } = q^{\dim G - \dim H})$$

Historical comments

Let A be a finite associative algebra over \mathbb{F}_q . Define

$$G(A) = \{ 1+x : x \in \text{nil radical of } A \}$$

This is the set of \mathbb{F}_q -pts of a unipotent group / \mathbb{F}_q with connected centralizers.

(all centralizers are linear subspaces of A).

Key example: $U_L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ unipotent linear group
cases from $A =$ upper triangular matrices.

J. Thompson conjecture (1965?) that any irrep of U_L has dimension a power of q

1973 Gutfkin's incorrect proof of:

Any irrep of an algebra group is induced from a 1-dim rep of a sub-algebra group.
(ie $G(B) \subset G(A)$ for $B \subset A$)

1995 Isaacs proved that the
dim of every irrep of $Q(A)$ is a power
of 2

2004 Hales proved Guralnik's "conjecture"

General picture

In representation theory of finite groups
there are two important functors:

1. Γ finite group, $\text{Fun}(\Gamma) = \text{functions on } \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
under convolution

The center of this is $\text{Fun}(\Gamma)^\Gamma$
 $=$ conjugation invariant functions

There's a natural bijection

irred characters of Γ \longleftrightarrow minimal idempotents
in $(\text{Fun}(\Gamma))^\Gamma$

$$\chi \longmapsto \frac{\chi(1)}{|\Gamma|} \cdot \chi$$

2. If Γ is a finite nilpotent group
 \Rightarrow every irrep of Γ / \mathbb{C} is
induced from a 1-d rep of a subgroup

Geochrizy 1 leads to a definition of
L-packets of irreducible characters of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Geochrizy 2 leads to a concrete description
of L-packets

How do we geometrize 2?

First geometrize the notion of a 1-d im
representation: If any algebraic group H/\mathbb{F}_q
we define a multiplicative local system on H
to be a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -local system \mathcal{L} on H
s.t. $\mu^*(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}$, $\mu: H \times H \rightarrow H$
multiplication

Corresponding functions are homomorphisms $G(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$

If H is commutative & connected then any

homomorphism arises this way
- but usually false for eg finite
Heisenberg groups.

Main Theorem 1' $G = \text{unipotent group} / \mathbb{F}_q$
with connected centralizers \Rightarrow
every irrep of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is of the form
 $\text{Ind}_{H(\mathbb{F}_q)}^{G(\mathbb{F}_q)} (\chi)$ where $H < G$ is
a closed connected subgroup & χ is
a multiplicative local system on H .
(new even for U_n).

In particular, any 1-dim rep of
 $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ comes from a multiplicative
local system on G (new even for algebraic groups).

L-packets
of irreps of
 $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$

(a)

definition in terms of
 $D_G(G)$ (geometrizing 1)

(b)

explicit description/construction
in terms of "admissible pairs"
for G
(geometrizing 2)

POV of a: L-packets measure failure of
 $D_G(G)$ to be symmetric, i.e. nontriviality
of square of the braiding on $D_G(G)$

POV of b: L-packets measure the failure
of \overline{G} to satisfy the main theorem 1'.

Can also relate connectedness of centralizers
to the square of the braiding β^2 .

This is what allows one to prove Main Theorem 1'.

(Very) Informal Meaning of L-packets

G algebraic group \mathbb{F}_q ,

hope for a notion of L -indistinguishability of irreducible representations of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$...

i.e. reps that can't be told apart by geometric methods.

L -packets are the resulting equivalence classes.

(for reductive groups \rightsquigarrow Lusztig)

If G is unipotent & connected (\mathbb{F}_q)
let's say two irreps ρ_1, ρ_2 of $G(\mathbb{F}_q) / \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$
are L -indistinguishable if

H connected closed subgroup $H \leq G$

\mathcal{L} any multiplicative local system \mathcal{L} on H

$$[\mathcal{L} : \rho_1 / H(\mathbb{F}_q)] = [\mathcal{L} : \rho_2 / H(\mathbb{F}_q)]$$

Caution: this could be a wrong definition!

Let's go back to geometric statement 2:

- remove the words "irreducible representation"
from it.

$\Gamma =$ a finite group conj.-class of
 Define $MC(\Gamma) =$ the set of γ pairs (H, χ)
 where $H \subset \Gamma$ &
 $\chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ homomorphism, satisfying
Mauey's criterion:

$$\forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus 1, \chi|_{H \cap H^\gamma} \neq \chi^\gamma|_{H \cap H^\gamma}$$

where $H^\gamma = \gamma^{-1}H\gamma$, $\chi^\gamma(g) = \chi(\gamma g \gamma^{-1})$

If $\mathcal{C} \in MC(\Gamma)$ then $\forall (H, \chi) \in \mathcal{C}$
 the induced representation depends only
 on \mathcal{C} up to isomorphism,
 $\rho_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{Ind}_H^\Gamma \chi$, $\rho_{\mathcal{C}}$ is irreducible

Thus $MC(\Gamma) \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$.

The fibers of this map are equivalence classes for the relation

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \sim \mathcal{C}_2 \iff \exists (H_i, \chi_i) \in \mathcal{C}_i \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\chi_1|_{H_1 \cap H_2} = \chi_2|_{H_1 \cap H_2}$$

(this follows from Frobenius reciprocity)

Finally, if Γ is nilpotent $\Rightarrow \mu(\Gamma) \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$
so we get a nice description of $\hat{\Gamma}$.

Now let's try to generalize this.

Take $k = \bar{k}$ alg. closed, $l \neq \text{char } k$,
 G connected unipotent group / k .

We need to work with G -conjugacy classes
of pairs (H, \mathcal{L}) where $H \subseteq G$ is closed
& connected, and \mathcal{L} is a multiplicative local
system on H .

* Naive analog of Mackey condition:

$$\forall g \in G(k) \setminus H(k), \quad \mathcal{L}|_{(H \cap H^g)^0} \neq \mathcal{L}^g|_{(H \cap H^g)^0}$$

... this is too strong! usually not enough such
pairs - e.g. for fake Heisenberg only connected
 $H \subseteq G$ are the center, but no local system on the
center can satisfy Mackey..

We need to relax this condition
 \leadsto get notion of admissible pair

e.g. $G = \text{fake Heisenberg} \Rightarrow$ if natural
multiplicative local system on $Z(G)$ gives
an admissible pair $(Z(G), \mathbb{L})$

~~✗~~ [Note: for fake Heisenberg can have
multiplicative local systems that
restrict nontrivially to the commutator
subgroups!]

Definition of an admissible pair

3 conditions in the definition:

[It's possible to define the normalizer of (H, \mathbb{L})
as a closed subgroup of G ,
 $G' = N_G(H) \subseteq G$... naively only
makes sense at the level of k -points]

1. $(G')^\circ/H$ is commutative

2. The homomorphism $(G')^\circ/H \rightarrow (G')^\circ/H)^*$
induced by \mathbb{I} is an isogeny

3. $\forall g \in G(k) \setminus G'(k)$ we have

$$\mathbb{I} \Big|_{(H \sim H')^\circ} \neq \mathbb{I} \Big|_{(H \sim H')^\circ}$$

About 2: $U =$ any connected commutative
unipotent group / k , its Serre dual U^*
(of the same kind) has $U^*(k) \cong$ isom classes
 $= \text{Ext}^1(U, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ of multiplicative
local systems on U
Can define dual for any

conn. unipotent group \rightarrow possibly disconnected
unipotent commutative group

The homomorphism mentioned in 2 is
a generalization of the following!

Γ finite, $H \subseteq \Gamma$ & $\chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ charact

$\Gamma' = \text{normalizer of } (H, \chi) \text{ in } \Gamma$

χ induces a bimultiplicative map

$$\Gamma'/H \times Z(\Gamma'/H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

$$(g, \gamma) \mapsto \chi(g\gamma g^{-1} \gamma^{-1})$$

(... if Γ'/H commutative we get a bilinear form on Γ'/H)

This induces $\Gamma'/H \rightarrow (Z(\Gamma'/H))^*$ Pontryagin dual

Go back to finite fields:

G connected unipotent group / \mathbb{F}_q

We say that two pairs $(H_1, L_1), (H_2, L_2)$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q are geometrically conjugate

if $\exists g \in G(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ st $H_2 = H_1^g$ & $L_2 = L_1^g$.

A pair (H, χ) in G/\mathbb{F}_q is admissible if $(H \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, \chi \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ is admissible for $G \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$

Def Let \mathcal{C} be a geometric conjugacy class of admissible pairs for G .

Define $L(\mathcal{C}) = \left\{ \rho \in \widehat{G(\mathbb{F}_q)} : \exists (H, \chi) \in \mathcal{C} \text{ s.t.} \right.$
 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \rho|_{H(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{ contains } \chi \\ \text{as a direct summand} \end{array} \right\}$

i.e. $[\chi : \rho|_{H(\mathbb{F}_q)}] > 0$

~ nonempty! contains irreps

Main Theorem 2

- If $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ are two geometric conjugacy classes of admissible pairs for G then either $L(\mathcal{C}_1) = L(\mathcal{C}_2)$ or $L(\mathcal{C}_1) \cap L(\mathcal{C}_2) = \emptyset$
- Every irrep appears in one of these subsets
- The subsets $L(\mathcal{C})$ are precisely the equivalence classes for the correct def. of L-indistinguishability - to appear next time!